

A Note on the Non-Classical Heat Conduction

N. Petrov N. Vulchanov

A fundamental drawback of the classical theory of heat conduction [1] is that allows for infinite velocity of propagation of thermal perturbations, which is physically unrealistic. This paradox has first been discussed by Maxwell [2] who generalized the classical Fourier theory [1]. Nowadays similar generalizations have been proposed by Cattaneo [3], Vernotte [4], Luikov [5], etc. They reduce to the following conductive heat flux, h_k , equation:

$$(1) \quad h_k + \tau_r \frac{\partial h_k}{\partial t} = -\lambda_{kl} \theta_{,l}$$

where τ_r is the thermal stress relaxation time and $(\cdot)_{,l}$ denotes differentiation along the coordinate x_l , $k, l=1, 2, 3$, and θ is the thermodynamic temperature.

The infinite velocity of propagation paradox has been removed also in the model of thermoelastic media whose thermodynamic behaviour depends on the time rate of change of θ [6], where the heat flux is given by:

$$(2) \quad h_k = b_k \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} - \lambda_{kl} \theta_{,l}$$

for the case of non-deformable media. In eqs. (1), (2) λ_{kl} and b_k are material constants.

Purpose of the present paper is to derive a rigorous formulation of heat conduction phenomena in non-deformable materials, thus generalizing a number of heat conduction models, that have appeared in literature and also producing some new ones.

Having in mind the general theory of fading memory developed by Coleman, etc. [7-9], the necessary and sufficient conditions to satisfy the I and II principles of thermodynamics in the form of a Clausius-Duhem inequality for non-deformable materials if the validity of:

$$(3) \quad \eta = -\frac{\partial \widehat{\psi}}{\partial \theta}(A(t), II^t(s))$$

$$(4) \quad \frac{\partial \widehat{\psi}}{\partial \theta_{,k}}(A(t), II^t(s)) = 0$$

$$(5) \quad d\widehat{\psi}(A(t), II^t(s) | \dot{II}^t(s)) - \frac{1}{\theta} \theta_{,k} h_k \leq 0$$

where $\widehat{\psi}$ is the free energy, which is a function of the present state of the system $A(t)$ and a functional of the history of the system $II^t(s)$;

$$(6) \quad A(t) \stackrel{\text{Df}}{=} (\theta(t) - \theta_0, \theta_{,k}(t))^T$$

$$(7) \quad II^t(s) \stackrel{\text{Df}}{=} II(t-s) \stackrel{\text{Df}}{=} (\theta^t(s) - \theta(t), \theta_{,k}^t(s))^T; \quad s \in (0, \infty)$$

where $(\dots)^T$ is the transposed quantity.

Eqs. (3), (4) imply that the free energy density $\widehat{\psi}$ and the entropy density η do not depend on the present value of the temperature gradient $\theta_{,k}(t)$. The dependence of the mentioned variables on the temperature gradient's history has been argued by Coleman, etc. [10, 11]. They state, that if the history of the temperature gradient is considered as an independent variable, the principle of local action would be violated, which is an analog of introducing the second deformation gradient as an independent variable for the case of deformable materials, and hence they neglect it, [8, 9, 12]. It will be shown here that assuming weak non-locality in the above sense enables one to generalize considerably the heat conduction models of non-deformable materials, to formulate a model of the phenomenon mentioned which produces as particular cases all linear heat conduction models, known to the authors presently, and give rise to new ones.

Let the free energy $\widehat{\psi}$ be a quadratic functional of the kind:

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho_0 \widehat{\psi} \stackrel{\text{Df}}{=} & A + B (\theta(t) - \theta_0) + \int_0^\infty F_1^T(s) \cdot II^t(s) ds \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty [II^t(s_1)]^T \cdot F_2(s_1, s_2) \cdot II^t(s_2) ds_1 ds_2 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} F_1^T(s) & \stackrel{\text{Df}}{=} (F(s), F_k(s)) \\ F_2(s_1, s_2) & \stackrel{\text{Df}}{=} \begin{bmatrix} K(s_1, s_2), N_l^T(s_1, s_2) \\ N_k(s_1, s_2) \quad R_{kl}(s_1, s_2) \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Eqs. (3)—(9) yield for the entropy density η :

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} -\rho_0 \eta = & B + K_0 (\theta(t) - \theta_0) \\ & + \int_0^\infty K_1(s) (\theta(t-s) - \theta_0) ds + \int_0^\infty N_k(s) \theta_{,k}(t-s) ds \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(11) \quad K_0 = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty K(s_1, s_2) ds_1 ds_2$$

$$K_1(s) = - \int_0^{\infty} K(s, s_2) ds_2$$

$$N_k(s) = \int_0^{\infty} N_k(s, s_2) ds_2.$$

The dissipation inequality, eq. (5), takes the form:

$$(12) \quad \int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{d}{ds} F_1(s) \right)^T \cdot \Pi^t(s) ds + \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} (\Pi^t(s_1))^T \cdot \left(\frac{d}{ds_2} F_2(s_1, s_2) \right) \cdot \Pi^t(s_2) ds_1 ds_2$$

$$- \theta_{,k}(t) \Gamma_k(t) \geq 0$$

where

$$(13) \quad \Gamma_k(t) \stackrel{\text{Df}}{=} \int_0^{\infty} N_k(s, 0) (\theta(t-s) - \theta(t)) ds + \int_0^{\infty} R_{kl}(s, 0) \theta_{,l}(t-s) ds - h_k.$$

A necessary and sufficient condition that the dissipation inequality, eq. (12), be satisfied for an arbitrary admissible thermodynamic process is the validity of:

$$\frac{d}{ds} F_1(s) \equiv \mathbf{0}; \quad s \in (0, \infty)$$

$$(14) \quad \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} (\Pi^t(s_1))^T \cdot \left(\frac{d}{ds_2} F_2(s_1, s_2) \right) \cdot \Pi^t(s_2) ds_1 ds_2 \geq 0,$$

$$\Gamma_k(t) = \lambda_{kl} \theta_{,l}(t),$$

where $\frac{d}{ds_2} F_2(s_1, s_2)$ is positive semi-definite and λ_{kl} — negative semi-definite.

From eqs. (13) and (14)₃ one obtains the following generalized heat flux equation:

$$(15) \quad h_k(t) = -\lambda_{kl} \theta_{,l} + \int_0^{\infty} R_{kl}(s, 0) \theta_{,l}(t-s) ds + \int_0^{\infty} N_k(s, 0) (\theta(t-s) - \theta(t)) ds.$$

It is eq. (15) that generalizes all linear heat conduction theories known to the authors until this manuscript was prepared. Thus, in the absence of memory effects:

$$(16) \quad R_{kl}(s, 0) \equiv 0, \quad N_k(s, 0) \equiv 0; \quad s \in (0, \infty)$$

the Fourier heat flux equation is obtained. If:

$$(17) \quad R_{kl}(s, 0) = \frac{A_{kl}}{\tau_r} e^{-\frac{s}{\tau_r}}, \quad N_k(s, 0) \equiv 0; \quad s \in (0, \infty)$$

one has eq. (1) where A_{kl} is material constant tensor. Let:

$$(18) \quad \lambda_{kl} \equiv 0, \quad N_k(s, 0) \equiv 0; \quad s \in (0, \infty)$$

than from eqs. (15) and (18) one gets:

$$(19) \quad h_k = \int_0^{\infty} R_{kl}(s, 0) \theta_{,l}(t-s) ds$$

which was proposed by Gurtin and Pipkin [13], while if:

$$(20) \quad N_k(s, 0) \equiv 0; \quad s \in (0, \infty)$$

from eqs. (15) and (20):

$$(21) \quad h_k(t) = -\lambda_{kl} \theta_{,l} + \int_0^{\infty} R_{kl}(s, 0) \theta_{,l}(t-s) ds$$

formulated and studied by Nunziato [14]. For the case:

$$(22) \quad R_{kl}(s, 0) \equiv 0; \quad s \in (0, \infty)$$

the heat flux equation is of the form:

$$(23) \quad h_k = -\lambda_{kl} \theta_{,l} + \int_0^{\infty} N_k(s, 0) (\theta(t-s) - \theta(t)) ds.$$

Suppose now that the system is characterized by a very strongly fading memory — in this case the kernel $N_k(s, 0)$ might be approximated by the asymmetric Dirac function and its derivative as:

$$(24) \quad N_k(s, 0) \approx A_k \delta_+(s) + B_k \frac{d}{ds} \delta_+(s)$$

where A_k and B_k are material constant vectors. Then eqs. (15) and (24) yield the model of Green and Lindsay [6], eq. (2). If like eq. (24), one assumes that:

$$(25) \quad R_{kl}(s, 0) \sim A_{kl} \delta_+(s) + B_{kl} \frac{d}{ds} \delta_+(s)$$

it follows that:

$$(26) \quad h_k = (\lambda_{kl} + A_{kl}) \theta_{,l} + B_{kl} \frac{\partial \theta_{,l}}{\partial t} + B_k \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}$$

which is not known to the authors from literature.

Thus it can be seen that utilizing the formalism described above, one is able to formulate a general model of heat conduction phenomena, which yields as particular cases a number of known linear heat conduction models and gives rise to new ones too.

Received 24. XI. 1980.

References

1. Fourier, J., *Théorie analytique de la chaleur*. Paris, 1822.
2. Maxwell, J., On the dynamical theory of gases. *Phil. Trans. Royal Soc. London*. Vol. 157, p. 49, 1867.
3. Cattaneo, C., Sulla condizione del calore. *ATTI Sem. Mat. Fiz. Univ. Modena*, Vol. 3, p. 83, 1948.

4. Vernotte, P., Paradoxes de la théorie continue de l'équation de la chaleur. *Comptes rend.*, Vol. 246, p. 3145, 1958.
5. Luikov, A. V., Application of irreversible thermodynamics methods in the investigation of heat and mass transfer processes. *Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer*, Vol. 9, p. 139, 1966.
6. Green, A. E., K. A. Lindsay, Thermoelasticity. *J. Elasticity*, Vol. 2, p. 1, 1972.
7. Coleman, B. D., V. J. Mizel, Norms and semigroups in the theory of fading memory. *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.*, Vol. 23, p. 87, 1966.
8. Coleman, B. D., Thermodynamics of materials with memory. *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.*, Vol. 17, p. 1, 1964.
9. Coleman, B. D., V. J. Mizel, On the general theory of fading memory. *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.*, Vol. 29, p. 18, 1968.
10. Coleman, B. D., V. J. Mizel, Thermodynamics and departures from the Fourier law of heat conduction. *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.*, Vol. 13, p. 245, 1963.
11. Coleman, B. D., M. E. Gurtin, Equipresence and constitutive equations for rigid heat conductors. *ZAMP*, Vol. 18, p. 199, 1967.
12. Coleman, B. D., D. R. Owen, On the thermodynamics of materials with memory. *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.*, Vol. 36, p. 245, 1970.
13. Gurtin, M. E., A. C. Pipkin, A general theory of heat conduction with finite wave speeds. *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.*, Vol. 31, p. 113, 1968.
14. Nunziato, J. W., On the heat conduction of materials with memory. *Quart. Appl. Math.*, Vol. XXIX, p. 187, 1971.